

SHUTE MIHALY
& WEINBERGER LLP

396 HAYES STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
T: (415) 552-7272 F: (415) 552-5816
www.smwlaw.com

JOSEPH D. PETTA
Attorney
petta@smwlaw.com

April 5, 2017

Via E-Mail and U.S. Mail

Brian D. Haig
Los Angeles World Airports
1 World Way
Los Angeles, CA 90045 8
Email: bhaig@lawa.org

Re: Public Records Act Request

Dear Mr. Haig:

This letter responds on behalf of our client, the City of El Segundo, to Los Angeles World Airport's ("LAWA") March 24, 2017 response to El Segundo's November 27, 2016 request under the California Public Records Act ("CPRA"). In that request, El Segundo asked for records relating to the reference to the potential addition of 3-5 passenger gates in the Notice of Preparation of an environmental impact report for the LAX Terminals 2 and 3 Modernization Project.

On January 4, 2017, LAWA responded with a small number of documents, which were substantially redacted and interspersed with blank pages. See Attachment 1. These documents were almost uniformly unresponsive to El Segundo's request, an unusual result given that the subject of the request was a public statement regarding a project which was already planned and undergoing environmental review.

On March 1, 2017, as part of its comments on the Final Environmental Impact Report ("FEIR") for the LAX Landside Access Modernization Program ("LAMP"), El Segundo made a follow-up request for "all background documents supporting or explaining the data" in one of the documents provided, and asked LAWA to include all responsive documents in the administrative record for the LAMP. (Excerpted request, including LAWA document referenced, attached as Attachment 2.)

Brian D. Haig
Los Angeles World Airports
April 5, 2017
Page 2

On March 10, 2017, LAWA responded that the documents “minus any privileged documents” would be ready for review in 14 days. See Attachment 3. LAWA cited authority to extend the normal 10-day deadline for response “under specified circumstances, including the need to review a large number of documents and to retrieve documents that are in a separate location from the office processing the request.”

Despite its express reliance on authority indicating a “large number of documents” potentially responsive to the request, on March 24, 2017 LAWA provided a single document, which was identical to the document which was the basis for El Segundo’s March 1, 2017 request. LAWA also stated that “[t]here are additional documents being reviewed and we will release any responsive, non-privileged documents as soon as possible.”

Pursuant to its March 1, 2017 request, El Segundo hereby demands that these documents be released immediately, and made part of the administrative record for the LAMP project and the Terminals 2 and 3 Modernization Project.

For the purposes of this request, the term “documents” includes, but is not limited to, any written material (including material on the internet), facsimile, e-mail, photograph, map, data, report, videotape, audiotape, note of telephone call or meeting, factual or legal analysis, and any and all correspondence and memoranda in any written form, or other information that would be an agency record subject to the requirements of the PRA when maintained by an agency in any format, including an electronic format. All references in this PRA request to LAWA include, but are not limited to, LAWA’s consultants, employees, officers, and attorneys and any other person or entity contracted to do business on their behalf.

If you determine that any of the requested records are exempt from disclosure, we ask that you reconsider that determination in view of Proposition 59, which amended the state Constitution to require that all exemptions to the CPRA be “narrowly construed.” We remind you that Government Code section 6257 requires release of all reasonably segregable portions of the requested records which are not themselves exempt from mandatory disclosure. If you determine that the requested records are subject to a still-valid exemption, we would further request that: (1) you exercise your discretion to disclose some or all of the records notwithstanding the exemption; and (2) with respect to records containing both exempt and non-exempt content, you redact the exempt content and disclose the remaining content.

Brian D. Haig
Los Angeles World Airports
April 5, 2017
Page 3

Under Government Code section 6255(a), LAWA must justify withholding any record responsive to this request by demonstrating that the record in question is exempt under an express provision or provisions of the CPRA. Because much of what is shown on the document in question indicates that airlines provided information in correspondence with LAWA (for instance, “Delta asserts they can gate 27 NB aircraft on 2 and 3 (T/W D?);” “AA plan has 15;” “AA thinks T5 can be 15 even with two A330 gates for Hawaiian”), LAWA may not claim that all records related to this document (including the correspondence with the airlines) are deliberative in nature.

Please immediately provide all records described or related to the above, or justify in writing why LAWA is withholding such records. Gov. Code § 6255(a). In light of the inadequate response to date, please also conduct a further search for all other LAWA records responsive to the El Segundo’s November 27, 2016 request that were not contained in LAWA’s January 4, 2017 response, including but not limited to all documented feedback from the airport sponsors regarding configuration or addition of gates anywhere at LAX.

If I can provide any other clarification that will help expedite your attention to this request, please contact me at (415) 552-7272 or petta@smwlaw.com. See Gov’t Code § 6253.1 (requiring public agency to contact and provide assistance to members of the public making a request that may be denied).

Very truly yours,

SHUTE, MIHALY & WEINBERGER LLP



Joseph “Seph” Petta

ATTACHMENT 1

Subject:

RE: November 27, 2016 Public Records Request

From: HAIG, BRIAN D. [<mailto:BHaig@lawa.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2017 1:35 PM
To: Coby King <coby@hpstrat.com>
Cc: PublicRecordsRequest <PublicRecordsRequest@lawa.org>
Subject: November 27, 2016 Public Records Request

Please find attached documents responsive to your request.

Please note we are not releasing deliberative documents. The documents being requested are exempt from release pursuant to provisions of the California Government Code Section 6255. To the extent that your request includes documents that are exempt under these provisions, we are not releasing any documents.

In addition there are documents covered under attorney client communication. Pursuant to provisions of California Government Code 6254 (k), these documents will not be released. Portions of the attached have been redacted under this section.

In addition, drafts are not being released pursuant to provisions of California Government Code 6254 (a).

There are additional documents being reviewed and will release those as soon as our review is complete.

Thank you for your patience.

Brian Haig
Office of the Chief Executive Officer
Los Angeles World Airports
(424) 646-6250
bhaig@lawa.org

From: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Meyer, Dorothy
Cc: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: Re: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Hi Dorothy,

All previous comments and edits I had have been addressed. Below are my comments:

- Question for LAWA: Did any of the other terminal improvement projects (T1, T5, T6/7/8) require a revision to the Airport Permit for LAX? If not, we will delete this.

LAWA did not require revisions for the other terminal improvement projects. Delete as suggested.
- P14, PROPOSAL NAME (If Applicable)* typo: ~~D~~LAX North Terminals Improvement Project
- Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resources Code §21074? FORTHCOMING pending AB 52 tribal consultation results

Similar to the language provided on the tribal response letter, let's add the language into the Initial Study rather than waiting for pending tribal consultation results and address results in the Draft EIR:

"As with all development projects at LAX, construction of the LAX North Terminals Improvement Project would be conducted in compliance with the LAX Archaeological Treatment Plan (ATP) and the monitoring requirements provided therein, including the protocols for retaining a Native American Monitor in the event of the discovery of an archaeological resource or Native American remains."

I sent a reminder email to other LAWA staff to submit comments today. I have not received any other comments from LAWA staff [REDACTED] at this point.

Thanks.
Angelica

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2016 4:32 PM
To: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

THANKS Jessica!!!!

From: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA) [mailto:JBAKER@lawa.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 3:03 PM

To: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Dorothy,
Attached please find my comments on the 2nd Draft.

We will be working with Ryan on the next iterations of clean up on Figure 3.

Thanks,

Jessica Baker, AIA

North Terminals Planning Manager | Architect
Los Angeles World Airports | Planning and Development Group
jbaker@lawa.org | 424.646.5315

From: Meyer, Dorothy [<mailto:MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:04 PM
To: TRIFILETTI, LISA; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com); BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; Ryan Kwiecinski; Chuck Henning; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Cc: Ijams, Robin; Jensen, David J.; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net); Skidmore, Anthony; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails
Importance: High

In this/2nd email:

- NOP notice (stand-alone notice) ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Angelica . 

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**
111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerd@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Meyer, Dorothy
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:00 PM
To: LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com) <FPark@parkvelayos.com>; 'BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)' <JBAKER@lawa.org>; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G (AESPIRITU@lawa.org) <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>; 'Ryan Kwiecinski' <rkwiecinski@PMTECHNO.com>; 'Chuck Henning' <chenning@PMTECHNO.com>; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN <EQuintanilla@lawa.org>
Cc: Ijams, Robin <IjamsRE@cdmsmith.com>; Jensen, David J. <JensenDJ@cdmsmith.com>; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net) <juliegaa@cox.net>; Skidmore, Anthony <SkidmoreAJ@cdmsmith.com>; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review 1 of 2 emails
Importance: High

Hello Team ...

Attached for your review (in this email) are the following documents:

- NOP-IS ... clean and track Word versions ...
- NOP-IS figures (four) ... the files have been reduced in order to send (this might affect the quality)

In 2nd email:

- NOP notice ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Angelica ... 

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdl@cdmsmith.com |

cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Meyer, Dorothy
Cc: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: Re: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Hi Dorothy,

All previous comments and edits I had have been addressed. Below are my comments:

- Question for LAWA: Did any of the other terminal improvement projects (T1, T5, T6/7/8) require a revision to the Airport Permit for LAX? If not, we will delete this.

LAWA did not require revisions for the other terminal improvement projects. Delete as suggested.
- P14, PROPOSAL NAME (If Applicable)* typo: ~~D~~LAX North Terminals Improvement Project
- Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resources Code §21074? FORTHCOMING pending AB 52 tribal consultation results

Similar to the language provided on the tribal response letter, let's add the language into the Initial Study rather than waiting for pending tribal consultation results and address results in the Draft EIR:

"As with all development projects at LAX, construction of the LAX North Terminals Improvement Project would be conducted in compliance with the LAX Archaeological Treatment Plan (ATP) and the monitoring requirements provided therein, including the protocols for retaining a Native American Monitor in the event of the discovery of an archaeological resource or Native American remains."

I sent a reminder email to other LAWA staff to submit comments today. I have not received any other comments from LAWA staff or [REDACTED] this point.

Thanks.
Angelica

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2016 4:32 PM
To: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

THANKS Jessica!!!!

From: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA) [mailto:JBAKER@lawa.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 3:03 PM

To: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Dorothy,
Attached please find my comments on the 2nd Draft.

We will be working with Ryan on the next iterations of clean up on Figure 3.

Thanks,

Jessica Baker, AIA

North Terminals Planning Manager | Architect
Los Angeles World Airports | Planning and Development Group
jbaker@lawa.org | 424.646.5315

From: Meyer, Dorothy [<mailto:MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:04 PM
To: TRIFILETTI, LISA; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com); BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; Ryan Kwiecinski; Chuck Henning; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Cc: Ijams, Robin; Jensen, David J.; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net); Skidmore, Anthony; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails
Importance: High

In this/2nd email:

- NOP notice (stand-alone notice) ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Angelica ... please coordinate legal team review of this 2nd draft ...

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerd@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Meyer, Dorothy
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:00 PM
To: LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com) <FPark@parkvelayos.com>; 'BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)' <JBAKER@lawa.org>; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G (AESPIRITU@lawa.org) <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>; 'Ryan Kwiecinski' <rkwiecinski@PMTECHNO.com>; 'Chuck Henning' <chenning@PMTECHNO.com>; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN <EQuintanilla@lawa.org>
Cc: Ijams, Robin <IjamsRE@cdmsmith.com>; Jensen, David J. <JensenDJ@cdmsmith.com>; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net) <juliegaa@cox.net>; Skidmore, Anthony <SkidmoreAJ@cdmsmith.com>; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review 1 of 2 emails
Importance: High

Hello Team ...

Attached for your review (in this email) are the following documents:

- NOP-IS ... clean and track Word versions ...
- NOP-IS figures (four) ... the files have been reduced in order to send (this might affect the quality)

In 2nd email:

- NOP notice ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdl@cdmsmith.com |

cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Sent: Friday, April 01, 2016 12:03 PM
To: Meyer, Dorothy; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net)
Cc: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: RE: LAX North Terminals Improvement Project - 2nd Admin Draft Project Description for Review

Flag for follow up
Flagged

Hi Dorothy/Julie,

I only had 6 comments and a few minor edits to the 1st draft of the project description that appears to all have been addressed except #5:

1. Revise regional location map deleting project site graphic since there is a figure 2 is the project location map. Use larger font for project site label.
2. List in references, double check throughout that all references are listed [REDACTED]
3. The description described location in relation to the streets that aren't shown on the figure. Please use a graphic similar to MSC Program Location, Figure 1 without the smaller map in the top right corner since there is a separate graphic for regional location: http://www.lawa.org/MSNorth/pdf/LAX%20MSC%20Initial%20Study_020613_Final_public.pdf
4. Label Taxilane D, service road & World Way on the figure since it is referenced.
5. Rather than showing a construction phasing figure since there is difficulty in distinguishing the colors and clearly reading the graphic, there should be simplified graphics for showing an existing site plan and a proposed site plan for the overall project for side by side comparison. If necessary, a revised construction phasing plan can be shown in the Draft EIR.
6. BOAC still certifies all LAWA EIRs with City Council concurrence.

A just have a couple of other comments on the 2nd PD draft:

Similar to the Terminal 7 Improvements Project for United, I would prefer to show the existing and proposed site/floor plans instead of the construction phasing graphic (http://www.lawa.org/uploadedFiles/OurLAX/pdf/UA%20T7_Initial%20Study%20for%20public%20review_March%2028_2013.pdf). I don't believe it is necessary to go into the specifics of construction phasing at this point since the design is still in the early conceptual stages and subject to change. The same would apply to specific building heights. I believe estimates would suffice unless you are using the information specifically for discussion on the level of impacts for environmental factors and determining significance and whether it is exceeding a particular threshold. Perhaps we should put this on the agenda as discussion points for Monday's meeting prior to making any revisions to see if there is feedback that indicates otherwise.

Other minor comment is for last section on "Necessary Approvals." I think it's an easier read to simply list the agency. If you still think it is appropriate to provide specifics, then list agency first and provide explanation (if any) thereafter.

Federal

- U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approval of Form 7460 (Notice of Proposed Construction or Alteration) in consideration of Part 77 requirements)

Local

- LAWA Board of Airport Commissioners
- Los Angeles City Council [REDACTED]
- City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety
- City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation
- Los Angeles Department of Cultural Affairs
- Other Federal, State, or local approvals, permits, or actions as may be determined necessary.

Thanks.
Angelica

Angelica Espiritu
Environmental Programs Group
Los Angeles World Airports
Tel: (424) 646-6495
www.Lawa.aero www.Ourlax.org

From: Meyer, Dorothy [mailto:MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2016 10:00 AM
To: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G
Cc: Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net)
Subject: FW: LAX North Terminals Improvement Project - 2nd Admin Draft Project Description for Review
Importance: High

Good Morning Ladies!

Just checking in regarding your comments on the revised PD ... let me know when you think we'll get your additional comments/edits ...

Also ... Jessica ... please call me about the voice message from Tuesday afternoon ... regarding terminology ... or let me know when today we can chat as a group (you/me/Julie and Angelica)

THANKS!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**
111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdl@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Meyer, Dorothy

Sent: Thursday, March 17, 2016 6:03 PM

To: LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G (AESPIRITU@lawa.org) <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>; 'BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)' <JBAKER@lawa.org>; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com) <FPark@parkvelayos.com>; Chuck Henning <chenning@PMTECHNO.com>; 'Ryan Kwiecinski' <rkwiecinski@PMTECHNO.com>

Cc: Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net) <juliegaa@cox.net>; Ijams, Robin <IjamsRE@cdmsmith.com>; Skidmore, Anthony <SkidmoreAJ@cdmsmith.com>; Jensen, David J. <JensenDJ@cdmsmith.com>

Subject: LAX North Terminals Improvement Project - 2nd Admin Draft Project Description for Review

Importance: High

Hello Everyone ...

THANK YOU all so much for the great edits and comments on the 1st administrative draft!!! We've turned around the edits and comments on the project description into a 2nd administrative draft (with figures) for your review. As the project description is the key to the NOP and Initial Study, before we give you those in 2nd draft form on March 29th we really would appreciate your review of just the project description.

Therefore, attached for your review is the 2nd administrative draft of the following:

- Project Description ... track and clean versions
- Figures 1 through 4 ... Note: We are waiting for higher resolution versions of the diagrams in Figure 3

Please provide comments by COB next Thursday, March 24th.

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerd@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

Meyer, Dorothy

From: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 6:02 PM
To: Meyer, Dorothy
Cc: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: Re: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Hi Dorothy,

All previous comments and edits I had have been addressed. Below are my comments:

- Question for LAWA: Did any of the other terminal improvement projects (T1, T5, T6/7/8) require a revision to the Airport Permit for LAX? If not, we will delete this.

[LAWA did not require revisions for the other terminal improvement projects. Delete as suggested.](#)
- P14, PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable)* typo: **LAX** North Terminals Improvement Project
- Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource as defined in Public Resources Code §21074? FORTHCOMING pending AB 52 tribal consultation results

[Similar to the language provided on the tribal response letter, let's add the language into the Initial Study rather than waiting for pending tribal consultation results and address results in the Draft EIR:](#)

["As with all development projects at LAX, construction of the LAX North Terminals Improvement Project would be conducted in compliance with the LAX Archaeological Treatment Plan \(ATP\) and the monitoring requirements provided therein, including the protocols for retaining a Native American Monitor in the event of the discovery of an archaeological resource or Native American remains."](#)

I sent a reminder email to other LAWA staff to submit comments today. [REDACTED]
LAWA staff or [REDACTED] at this point.

Thanks.
Angelica

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2016 4:32 PM
To: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

THANKS Jessica!!!!

From: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA) [mailto:JBAKER@lawa.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 03, 2016 3:03 PM

To: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Subject: RE: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails

Dorothy,
Attached please find my comments on the 2nd Draft.

We will be working with Ryan on the next iterations of clean up on Figure 3.

Thanks,

Jessica Baker, AIA

North Terminals Planning Manager | Architect
Los Angeles World Airports | Planning and Development Group
jbaker@lawa.org | 424.646.5315

From: Meyer, Dorothy [<mailto:MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:04 PM
To: TRIFILETTI, LISA; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com); BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; Ryan Kwiecinski; Chuck Henning; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Cc: Ijams, Robin; Jensen, David J.; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net); Skidmore, Anthony; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review - 2 of 2 emails
Importance: High

In this/2nd email:

- NOP notice (stand-alone notice) ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Angelica ... please coordinate legal team review of this 2nd draft ...

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**
111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerd@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Meyer, Dorothy
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 6:00 PM
To: LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com) <FPark@parkvelayos.com>; 'BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)' <JBAKER@lawa.org>; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G (AESPIRITU@lawa.org) <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>; 'Ryan Kwiecinski' <rkwiecinski@PMTECHNO.com>; 'Chuck Henning' <chenning@PMTECHNO.com>; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN <EQuintanilla@lawa.org>
Cc: Ijams, Robin <IjamsRE@cdmsmith.com>; Jensen, David J. <JensenDJ@cdmsmith.com>; Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net) <juliegaa@cox.net>; Skidmore, Anthony <SkidmoreAJ@cdmsmith.com>; F_bijotat@ricondo.com
Subject: North Terminals - 2nd Admin Draft NOP notice and NOP-IS for Review 1 of 2 emails
Importance: High

Hello Team ...

Attached for your review (in this email) are the following documents:

- NOP-IS ... clean and track Word versions ...
- NOP-IS figures (four) ... the files have been reduced in order to send (this might affect the quality)

In 2nd email:

- NOP notice ... PDF of clean (with figures) and track Word versions
- Appendix A of the NOP-IS: Draft Historic Resources Report (this is the only appendix for the NOP-IS)

Please review and provide comments by **COB on Tuesday, May 3rd**. THANK YOU for all your hard work on this project!!!

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerd@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

2000

Meyer, Dorothy

From: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 3:22 PM
To: Julie Gaa; Meyer, Dorothy
Cc: Skidmore, Anthony; BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Subject: RE: Francis' comment page 35 of 2nd AD IS - number of new jobs

Hi Dorothy/Julie,

I've followed up with Lisa and we should take the SM approach on overall operation.

Thanks.

Angelica Espiritu
Environmental Programs Group
Los Angeles World Airports
Tel: (424) 646-6495
www.Lawa.aero www.Ourlax.org

From: Julie Gaa [mailto:juliegaa@cox.net]
Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 7:28 AM
To: 'Meyer, Dorothy'; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Cc: 'Skidmore, Anthony'
Subject: RE: Francis' comment page 35 of 2nd AD IS - number of new jobs

Hi all. Just to clarify. Francis' comment was on page 35 of the 2nd AD IS (please see attached). The comment was related to population and housing. We had indicated that "The proposed project would [REDACTED] increase long-term employment opportunities at LAX [REDACTED] within T2 and T3. These jobs are expected to be filled from the large southern California regional population and would not induce population growth in the area." We are just looking for perhaps a general estimate/range of new jobs.

Thanks

Julie

From: Meyer, Dorothy [mailto:MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2016 7:12 PM
To: ANGELICA G ESPIRITU; JBAKER@lawa.org
Cc: Julie Gaa; Skidmore, Anthony
Subject: Re: Francis' comment page 35 of 2nd AD IS - number of new jobs

Or we take the SM approach regarding overall operation

Sent from my iPhone

On May 18, 2016, at 5:52 PM, Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com> wrote:

Hi

Francis asks if we have an estimate of how many jobs (operational) that the T2/3 Mod project would create. The short answer is no. The attached is what we received from you/LAWA. As indicated on the last page :

Operations

- Estimated operational employment (e.g., number of new jobs--will new operational jobs be filled by existing employees?)
 - Information is being derived, and will be provided in the future.

The info was never provided ... We are still trying to eliminate operations ...

So do you want me to you respond to Francis that no we don't have that info or can we ask Delta or perhaps you can come up with a number????

Please advise

D

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only

This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

<LAX Delta North Terminals Information Needs_2015 10 19_v2 (DRAFT).docx>

From: TRIFILETTI, LISA L (Non-LAWA)
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 9:59 AM
To: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: Fwd: Gates inventory with history.xlsx
Attachments: Gates inventory with history.xlsx; ATT00001.htm

I think management is still going to have to decide what is in the delta lease. My understanding is that SM was still not ok w the number of gates delta was showing not bc of the settlement but bc it was not workable for other operational/business reasons. Can you guys check in w ELLEN today before 1?

Lisa Trifiletti
(310) 738-2099

Begin forwarded message:

From: "MARTIN, STEVE" <SMARTIN@lawa.org>
Date: February 29, 2016 at 2:45:26 PM PST
To: "TRIFILETTI, LISA" <LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org>, "WRIGHT, ELLEN, J" <EWRIGHT@lawa.org>, "GUIDRY, CYNTHIA" <CGUIDRY@LAWA.ORG>
Subject: Gates inventory with history.xlsx

For your entertainment....

Terminal / Concourse	2006	2008	2010	2012	2013	2015	Today	2020	2024	2028	2032
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	10
1	16	15	15	15	25	25	13	13	13	13	13
2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	13	13	13	13
3	13	13	12	12	13	13	12	14	14	14	14
4	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	15	15	15	15
5	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	14	14	14	14
6	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
7	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	13	13	13
8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9			7	7
TBIT	12	12	12	12	15	14	18	18	18	18	15
MSC North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12	12	12
9 - Phase 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		8	8
9 Phase 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4
MSC South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Subtotal Contact Gates	110	109	108	108	112	111	112	132	150	154	165
Eagle at Low Bay/CO Hangar	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8
Eagle at Century	18	18	6	10	10	10	8	8	0	0	0
West Remote	19	19	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	0
Imperial Terminal	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SouthPads	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Central Area	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal Remote Gates	49	63	24	28	28	28	26	26	26	26	8
	159	172	132	136	140	139	138	158	176	180	173

Avg Ann Growth

2.9%

2.3%

0.5%

-0.8%

Weighted Average Gates in Service	125	124	115	116	120	119	120	140	158	162	167
	\$9,800,000	\$9,800,000	\$9,100,000	\$3,700,000	\$6,700,000	\$7,900,000	\$9,026,394	\$0,549,999	\$0,971,244	\$3,225,955	\$6,452,374
	479,551	480,901	515,021	547,751	553,987	627,309					

576180.25

Needs to be earlier than 2024

Assumes no cut back for T/W D

Delta asserts they can gate 27 NB aircraft on 2 and 3 (T/W D?)

Delta asserts they can gate 27 NB aircraft on 2 and 3 (T/W D?)

AA plan has 15

AA thinks T5 can be 15 even with two A350 gates for Hawaiian

Current configuration with two WB aircraft

Assume re-gauging after Concourse 9 opens

Elimination of small RJs

Net north extension

Current plan to be confirmed

All WB aircraft assumed

All WB aircraft assumed

May be less than 10, depending on gauge, particularly if A380

RJ facility closes with Concourse 9 opens

May need to assume these go away during a forecast period

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 4:52 PM
To: TRIFILETTI, LISA L (Non-LAWA)
Cc: ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN
Subject: T2 and T3 Modernization Project - Final Power Point Presentation
Attachments: Summer 2016 - LAX Terminals 2 and 3 Modernization Project_Final.pdf; Summer 2016 - LAX Terminals 2 and 3 Modernization Project_Final.pptx

Importance: High

Per your request ... Attached is the final presentation in PDF and Power Point

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdL@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 17, 2016 5:57 PM
To: TRIFILETTI, LISA L (Non-LAWA); ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G; QUINTANILLA, EVELYN; BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA); Ryan Kwiecinski; Skidmore, Anthony; Francis Park (FPark@parkvelayos.com); Chuck Henning; MARTINEZ-SIDHOM, BRENDA; dana.debel@delta.com; Robert Walker; molinaconsultingla@gmail.com; jacobus.p.claassens@delta.com; christine.boucher@delta.com
Cc: Julie Gaa (juliegaa@cox.net); Jensen, David J.
Subject: T2/T3 - Scoping Meeting - Boards and Misc.
Attachments: LAX Terminals 2 and 3 Modernization Project_DRAFT_Scoping Mtg Boards_v3.pptx; T2-T3 Sample Questions.docx; T2-T3 scoping meeting - staffing_v2.docx

Importance: High

Hello Everyone ...

I've been requested by LAWA to send you the following items for our upcoming T2/T3 Scoping Meeting (next Weds. August 24th):

- **Draft Scoping Meeting Boards** ... the attached PowerPoint represents the boards being recommended for placement around the room (there is no presentation, so this is how we will give information to the public) ... **Please review and give me comments/edits on the draft boards no later than this Friday (August 19th by Noon)**
- Draft Staffing for the Boards ... we will discuss on our weekly meeting (Monday August 22nd at 1:00 pm)
- List of questions that were asked at the LAX AAC meeting and may come up at the August 24th meeting. This internal Q&A is for the team (LAWA, CDM Smith & Delta) to prepare for anticipated questions that the attendees may have and ensure consistency with the answers provided ... We will discuss on our weekly meeting (Monday August 22nd at 1:00 pm)

For those on this email that are not normally on our weekly meeting, I will send out a meeting notice.

Regards,

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdL@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

HAIG, BRIAN D.

From: Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2016 1:03 PM
To: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA)
Cc: Skidmore, Anthony
Subject: RE: [REDACTED] Draft Discussion of T2/T3 NEPA Considerations and Draft Operations Discussion

Hi Jessica ...

I left you a voice message regarding this ...

Dorothy Meyer | Principal Planner | **CDM Smith**

111 Academy Way, Suite 150 | Irvine, CA 92617 | T/F: 949.930.7259 | meyerdl@cdmsmith.com |
cdmsmith.com | mobile: 949.838-6344

Please consider the environment before printing this email

Preliminary Draft - For Discussion Purposes Only: This email may contain material that is confidential and privileged for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, reliance or distribution by others or forwarding without express permission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.

From: BAKER, JESSICA (Non-LAWA) [mailto:JBAKER@lawa.org]
Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2016 8:40 AM
To: Skidmore, Anthony <SkidmoreAJ@cdmsmith.com>; Meyer, Dorothy <MeyerDL@cdmsmith.com>
Cc: TRIFILETTI, LISA L (Non-LAWA) <LTRIFILETTI@lawa.org>; ESPIRITU, ANGELICA G <AESPIRITU@lawa.org>
Subject: RE: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED: Draft Discussion of T2/T3 NEPA Considerations and Draft Operations Discussion

Tony and Dorothy,
After internal discussion, we think it is best for Chad Townsend from Ricondo to present the information/to answer potential questions. Will you guys please coordinate with Chad for the proposed meeting at noon today?

Best,

Jessica Baker, AIA

Terminal Planning Manager | Architect
Los Angeles World Airports | Planning and Development Group
jbaker@lawa.org | 424.646.5315

2

ATTACHMENT 2

LAWA states that the 153-gate cap applies through 2020 as a provision of the 2006 Stipulated Settlement. *Id.* at 2-96. This is partly correct. The 153-gate cap is also mitigation which LAWA expressly adopted when it approved SPAS, and “dutifully incorporated . . . into each proposed SPAS study alternative.” *See ARSAC et al. v. City of Los Angeles et al.*, at 79. Because the Project would abandon an operational limitation adopted independently of the 2006 Stipulated Settlement, LAWA must analyze the impact of removing the 153-gate cap from the plans governing airport development.

LAWA also suggests that, regardless of whether the Project would cause an increase in passenger gates, the EIR considers the cumulative impact of additional passenger gates because the description of the environmental setting identifies other potential projects that would add gates. FEIR at 2-98. LAWA claims that these projects would not, in any event, cause the total number of passenger gates to exceed the 153-gate cap. *Id.* As an initial matter, the list of “Probable Future Projects” in the EIR contradicts LAWA’s claim in its response to comments that the total number of gates would not exceed 153; the Terminals 2 and 3 project and the “Concourse 0” project together would add 14 gates to LAWA’s current (2016) count of 141 gates. *See* FEIR at 2-97. Furthermore, this list of projects does not match the list of future projects LAWA provided to the City on January 4, 2017, in response to a Public Records Act request. *See Exhibit F.* This document indicates that LAX will have 173 passenger gates by 2032 as a result of several projects which are not mentioned in the EIR at all. *Id.* It also appears to show that LAX will have 158 gates in 2020, while the 2006 settlement remains in effect.

It is no secret that the 153 gates already slated for LAX can accommodate much more than 78.9 MAP. Moreover, LAWA intends to dramatically increase the number of passenger gates at LAX during, and beyond, construction of the Project. This will allow LAX to accommodate even more passengers and flights. Nothing in the EIR (including its cumulative impacts analysis) evaluates the noise, air quality and other impacts of that growth on El Segundo and other airport neighbors.

The City reiterates the request in its comments on the DEIR that the entire administrative record for the Terminals 2 and 3 project, including the recently released EIR (attached as Exhibit G), be included in the LAMP administrative record. Furthermore, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (“PRA”), Government Code Sections 6250 et seq., and the amendments to the California Constitution provided by Proposition 59, please make available for El Segundo’s review all background documents supporting or explaining the data in Exhibit F, and include all such documents in the administrative record for the LAMP. Please respond to this request within ten (10) days. Gov’t Code § 6256.

Terminal / Concourse	2006	2008	2010	2012	2013	2015	Today	2020	2024	2028	2032
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		10	10
1	16	15	15	15	25	25	13	13	13	13	13
2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	13	13	13	13
3	13	13	12	12	13	13	12	14	14	14	14
4	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	15	15	15	15
5	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	14	14	14	14
6	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
7	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	13	13	13
8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9			7	7
TBIT	12	12	12	12	15	14	18	18	18	18	19
MSC North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		12	12	12
9 - Phase 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		8	8
9 Phase 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		4
MSC South	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
Subtotal Contact Gates	110	109	108	108	112	111	112	132	150	154	165
Eagle at Low Bay/CO Hangar	12	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8
Eagle at Century	18	18	6	10	10	10	8	3	0	0	0
West Remote	19	19	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	0
Imperial Terminal	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SouthPads	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
North Central Area	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal Remote Gates	49	63	24	28	28	28	26	26	26	26	8
	159	172	132	136	140	139	138	158	176	180	173

Avg Ann Growth

2.9%

2.3%

0.5%

-0.8%

Weighted Average Gates in Service	125	124	115	116	120	119	120	140	158	162	167
	59,800,000	59,800,000	59,100,000	63,700,000	66,700,000	74,900,000	69,026,394	80,549,999	90,921,244	93,225,965	96,452,574
	479,551	480,901	519,021	547,751	553,887	627,309					

576180.25

Needs to be earlier than 2024

Assumes no cut back for T/W D

Delta asserts they can gate 27 NB aircraft on 2 and 3 (T/W D?)

Delta asserts they can gate 27 NB aircraft on 2 and 3 (T/W D?)

AA plan has 15

AA thinks T5 can be 15 even with two A350 gates for Hawaiian

Current configuration with two WB aircraft

Assume re-gauging after Concourse 9 opens

Elimination of small RJs

Net north extension

Current plan to be confirmed

All WB aircraft assumed

All WB aircraft assumed

May be less than 10, depending on gauge, particularly if A380

RJ facility closes with Concourse 9 opens

May need to assume these go away during a forecast period

ATTACHMENT 3

From: HAIG, BRIAN D. <BHaig@lawa.org>
Sent: Friday, March 10, 2017 10:52 AM
To: Joseph D. Petta
Cc: PublicRecordsRequest
Subject: Public Records Request Re LAWA EIR

Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) is in receipt of your public records request. The California Government Code Section 6253(c) requires LAWA to provide a response to your request within 10 days of receipt. The code also permits the ten-day period to be extended for an additional 14 days under specified circumstances, including the need to review a large number of documents and to retrieve documents that are in a separate location from the office processing the request, under California Government Code 6253 (c) (1) and (2).

The purpose of this email is to advise you that due to the need to search for collect and examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records, not at this location; the documents, minus any privileged documents, will be ready for review on or before 14 days.